

## MATH 3311, FALL 2025: LECTURE 15, OCTOBER 1

Video: [https://youtu.be/K\\_Q8XrbIPF8](https://youtu.be/K_Q8XrbIPF8)

Recall from last time the following summary of the structure of group actions:

**Proposition 1.** *If  $G \curvearrowright X$  is a group action, then we have*

$$X = \bigsqcup \mathcal{O}$$

where  $\mathcal{O} \subset X$  are the distinct orbits. Moreover, if  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  (so that  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(x)$ ), then there is an isomorphism of group actions

$$G/G_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(x).$$

If we have a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , then we have the surjective function

$$\pi : G \xrightarrow{g \mapsto gH} G/H$$

from  $G$  onto the set of cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

**Question 1.** When does  $G/H$  have the structure of a group? More precisely, when can we view  $\pi$  as a *group homomorphism*?

*Example 1.* If  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = n\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  has a group structure as an additive group such that the natural surjective function  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{a \mapsto a \pmod{n}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a group homomorphism.

Let us make some quick observations.

**Observation 1.** The only possible group structure on  $G/H$  for which  $\pi$  is a homomorphism is given in terms of coset representatives by

$$(g_1H)(g_2H) = g_1g_2H.$$

**Observation 2.** If  $\pi$  is a group homomorphism, then  $H = \ker \pi$ .

*Proof.* The way the group operation in  $G/H$  is supposed to work, the *identity* coset  $H$  plays the role of the *identity element*. Therefore, we have

$$\ker \pi = \{g \in G : \pi(g) = gH = H\} = H$$

where the second equality follows from the observation that  $gH = H$  precisely when  $g \in H$ . □

**Observation 3.** For any group homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$ ,  $\ker f \leq G$  is a *normal* subgroup.

*Proof.* We need to verify the following properties:

- (1)  $e \in \ker f$ : This is because  $f(e) = e$ .
- (2) If  $h_1, h_2 \in \ker f$ , then  $g_1g_2 \in \ker f$ : This is because

$$f(h_1h_2) = f(h_1)f(h_2) = e \cdot e = e.$$

- (3) If  $h \in \ker f$ , then  $h^{-1} \in \ker f$ : This is because

$$f(h^{-1}) = f(h)^{-1} = e^{-1} = e.$$

- (4) (Normality) If  $h \in \ker f$  and  $g \in G$ , then  $ghg^{-1} \in \ker f$ : This is because

$$f(ghg^{-1}) = f(g)f(h)f(g)^{-1} = f(g)ef(g)^{-1} = f(g)f(g)^{-1} = f(gg^{-1}) = f(e) = e.$$

□

Combining the two previous observations, we find:

**Observation 4.** If  $\pi$  is a group homomorphism, then  $H = \ker \pi$  is a *normal* subgroup of  $G$ .

So normality is a *necessary* condition for  $\pi$  to be a homomorphism of groups; or, equivalently, for the operation  $(g_1H)(g_2H) = g_1g_2H$  to be *well-defined*. Let us see an example where this fails.

*Example 2.* If  $G = D_{2n}$  and  $H \leq G$  is the subgroup generated by  $\tau$ , then  $G/H$  has size  $n$  (why?). If we take the coset  $\sigma H$  and 'multiply' it by itself we get

$$(\sigma H)(\sigma H) = \sigma^2 H.$$

But we can also represent  $\sigma H$  as  $\sigma\tau H$ . If we use this instead for the first factor, then we get

$$(\sigma\tau H)(\sigma H) = \sigma\tau\sigma H.$$

Since  $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^{-1}$ , we can rewrite the right hand side as

$$\tau\sigma^{-1}\sigma H = \tau H = H.$$

Clearly, this is *not* equal to  $\sigma^2 H$ . This shows that the operation we wanted to define is not well-defined, and this is happening precisely because  $H$  is not normal in  $G$ .

**Proposition 2** (Existence of quotient groups). *The following are equivalent for a subgroup  $H \leq G$ :*

- (1) *There exists some homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  such that  $H = \ker f$ ;*
- (2)  *$H \trianglelefteq G$  is a normal subgroup;*
- (3) *The function  $\pi$  is a homomorphism of groups: That is, there is a (necessarily unique) structure of a group on  $G/H$  such that  $\pi$  satisfies  $(g_1H)(g_2H) = \pi(g_1)\pi(g_2) = \pi(g_1g_2) = g_1g_2H$ .*

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): This is Observation 3.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): This is Observation 2. Basically, we can take  $f$  to be the homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ .

To complete the circle, we must show (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). This amounts to the assertion that the operation

$$(g_1H)(g_2H) = g_1g_2H$$

on  $G/H$  is well-defined *independent* of the choice of coset representatives. If we replace  $g_1H$  and  $g_2H$  with  $g_1h_1H$  and  $g_2h_2H$  for  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ , then the product now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1h_1H)(g_2h_2H) &= g_1h_1g_2h_2H \\ &= g_1h_1g_2H \\ &= g_1g_2(g_2^{-1}h_1g_2)H \\ &= g_1g_2H, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality, we have used the normality of  $H$  to conclude that  $g_2^{-1}h_1g_2 \in H$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.** When the equivalent conditions of the proposition hold, we say that  $G/H$  the **quotient group** of  $G$  by  $H$  and that  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  is the **quotient homomorphism**. By construction, we have

$$H = \ker \pi.$$